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Hands at the Women’s Centre (2005)

No Racism (1989)

Our Ground (1990)

State for the Family
This chapter is about the social reproduction of architecture as a resistant feminist practice at the urban neighbourhood scale. Architecture is not understood as a given or immobile object, but rather as the ongoing work of spatialized social relations. This work entails agency and positive change as well as counter-action and resistance. Both positive change and resistance are considered 'social reproduction work' in the development of urban neighbourhoods. This contention is explored through the example of the Downtown Eastside Women's Centre's (DEWC) involvement in the changing neighbourhood of Vancouver's Downtown Eastside over the past three decades. Social reproduction theorists like Silvia Federici and Mariarosa Della Costa have emphasized that reproductive labour is, for the most part, unpaid labour. It is also frequently referred to as hidden labour (Federici, 2012: 31). Histories of urban struggles and of feminist counter-planning, everyday feminist solidarity, practices of self-organization, urban activism, and right-to-the-city movements can, following this work, largely be understood as hidden labour that not only keeps the 'social reproduction of architecture' alive, but makes cities places of life, despite all the odds of rising inequality, vulnerability and precarity. Even though urban activism and right-to-the-city-movements make claims and demands visible, the better part of its social reproduction work remains hidden. It is mainly this hidden work that forges friendships, nourishes trust, instils hope, builds alliances, and supports resistance. Therefore, this chapter is also about hope and resistance focusing on the social reproduction of architecture as one that must be about feminist solidarity, one that is working across and between different claims and conflicts.
This chapter builds upon my 2011–2012 urban curatorial project, *Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future* that centred around a mapping of the claims and demands put forward by the DEWC since its inception in 1978 (Figures 7.1–7.3). The DEWC’s claims can be understood as contributing to the development of the neighbourhood, and the social reproduction of architecture in (at least) two ways. First, articulating claims that influenced (or attempted to intervene in) the dominant planning and development processes, led in the main by the Simon Fraser University and the School for Contemporary Arts. These claims and demands informed (to differing degrees) the development and at the same time constitute a form of unrecognized labour. Second, the processes of collectively articulating claims and demands as the process of social solidarity and more importantly the everyday activities of the Women’s Centre, foreground the reproduction of spaces and relations that sustain the life of the neighbourhood yet are mostly unrecognized.


This hidden labour is public work, or put differently, it makes, what we call the public work. For practitioners of architecture, urbanism, research, theory, or curating, this means acknowledging the immense scale of hidden work necessary to make the (public) life of urban neighbourhoods. Although it is easy to critique social reproduction’s hidden labour and its gendered nature, it is equally important, first, to open up new ways of thinking about social reproduction beyond the concept of waged labour (to acknowledge multiple economies and contributions) and, second, move it from the domestic scale of the household as it is normally understood to the urban scale of architecture, communities, neighbourhoods, and cities in order that we can more fully recognize the civic work involved in making neighbourhoods.

The project, and this chapter, posit ‘social reproduction’ as a field of ‘transversal politics’ (Yuval-Davis, 1999) and transnational feminist solidarity (Mohanty, 2003). It suggests that such approaches can result in the production of feminist urban infrastructure, i.e. built architecture or physical space, but also in counter-acting the dynamics of architecture that accelerate gentrification and cause evictions. This means working amidst contradictions that are not going to disappear but allow for agency only within the prevailing conditions of austerity, neoliberalism, neocolonialism, rising militarism, and new forms of sexualized and racialized segregation and exploitation. Therefore, the
exception, moments of struggle, demonstrations and in this case, the articulation of specific claims and demands. Yet, this everyday work remains largely unnoticed and uncredited. It flies under the radar of the politics of recognition. Yet, the people performing this work credit each other, appreciate and value its importance and its scale.

The Downtown Eastside of Vancouver, one of the city’s oldest neighbourhoods, boasts a long history of community activism and residents’ resistance. For decades, the Downtown Eastside (DTES) has been marked by uneven development, neoliberal economics, gentrification, poverty, precarity, and violence. The Downtown Eastside Women’s Centre (DEWC) is a self-organized feminist space dedicated to women’s empowerment and fighting inequality.

Mapping the Everyday included an activist, archival mapping of the history of the DEWC. It mapped the demands and claims put forward by the women of the Centre and took on the form of a text-based installation at the Audain Gallery. This ‘horizon line’ of demands (Table 7.1) and claims on the gallery’s walls served as a backdrop when using the gallery space in its entirety as:

[a] meeting ground for the production and sharing of different forms of knowledge. To achieve this, the collaborative project expanded to include the art collective desmedia, red diva projects, the art collective Coupe, Out of Bounds: Festival of Site-Specific Interventions, students from the School for the Contemporary Art at Simon Fraser University, and members of the Downtown Eastside community.2

(Mapping the Everyday, 2011: 1)

2 This chapter will focus on the archival mapping and the complexities of the practice of transversal feminist politics and transnational feminist solidarity in the temporary alliance of the Downtown Eastside Women Centre, the Audain Gallery, and myself. An in-depth analysis and theoretical reflection of all the different artistic and activist contributions to Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future exceed the scope of this chapter.
On December 19th, approximately 2000 people gathered at City Hall to protest rising rents and growing homelessness (1989).

Red Road Warriors (1989)


Clean and Sober Group meeting (1989)

Recovery (1989)

Family Clean and Sober Group (1989)

Please do not take things that are not yours (1989)

Hold Your Ground (1990)

Sleeping Hummingbirds (1990)

We’ve Survived the Long Winter (1990)

Always Play Safe (1990)

Impossible Takes a Little Longer (1990)

In Their Spirits Live Within Us (1980–)

Justice for Missing and Murdered Women (1990s)

Justice for Residential School Survivors (1990)

We are also always in need of clothes for women (1990–)

Campaign to Get Welfare Raised (1991)

Reclaiming Your Power (1991)

Aboriginal Celebrations and Ceremonies (1991)

Sisters in Spirit (1990–)

The February 14th Women’s Memorial March Committee (1992–)

We are committed to justice. (1992–)

Tools for Change (1994)

A Safe Place for Women (1994)

Grief and Loss Support (1995)

Visualizing Workshops (1995)

Stop the War on the Poor (1996)

Raise the Rates (1998)

Bad Date Sheets (1998)

Psychiatric Day Program (1999)

Healing Circle (1999)

Make a Wild Woman Out of You (1999)


The Learning Group (2000–02)

Learn how to make something from nothing (stone soup) (2000)

Today in One Circle (2001)

Welcome Home (2001)

We Must Stand Together for Peace Justice, Freedom and Equality (2001)

Honor our Sisters and Grandmothers (2001)

Join Us Women (2001)

Sisters Resist (2001)

Breaking the Silence (2001)

Positive Body Images (2001)

Appropriate Programming (2001)

There is joy in the struggle (2002)

There is joy in the struggle (2002)


DTES, I Love (2004)

Stop Attacks on Women (2004)

Rise Up (2005)

Love and Support (2005)

Imagine the woman who honours the face of the goddess in her own changing face (2005)

Fight to get power back into women’s hands at the Women’s Centre (2005)

Donations Committee asking for your help (2005)

Pow Wow (2005)

Celebrate a New Beginning (2006)

Build Community (2006)


This march is also being organized in solidarity with our sisters in the Women Against Poverty Collective in Toronto who on June 3rd are organizing a housing takeover in Toronto to draw attention to the links between safe housing and women’s ability to live free from violence. We are joining together from Toronto to Vancouver to demand safe, long-term affordable housing for women to be made available immediately

Our Own Voices: of Pain and Hope (2007)

Vigil and March to Honour Women (2007)

Stop All Forms of Violence Against Women: End Patriarchy! (2007)

Power of Women (2007)

Safe Housing for Women (2007)

An Open Letter to Mayor Sam Sullivan and City Council from Women in the Downtown Eastside (July 2, 2007)

DTES Community Meeting at DEWC – Men Welcome. Open to All Concerned DTES Residents and Community Members (2007)

March for Women’s Housing and March Against Poverty! Elders, Youth, Men Welcome Bring Drums and Your Friends (2007)

Stop Child Abuse (2007)

Support Mothers (2008)

We Demand an Inquiry into the Missing Women (2008)

People Are Dying (2008)


Stop Criminalizing the Poor (2008)


Affordable and Safe Housing Now! (2009)

Stop Ticketing and Arrests Under Project Civil City (2009)

Fight Rapid Hotel/SRO Closures and Evictions (2009)

Stop Police Brutality (2009)

Housing Now (2010)

In Our Own Voices (2010)

No Olympics on Stolen Native Land! (2010)

People Before Olympic Profits (2010)


Our Lives, Our Voices: Downtown Eastside women find healing through narrative (2010)

Respect Your Elders (2011)

We will be marching to demand action on women’s safety (2011)
The agenda of the DEWC and the curatorial intent shaping *Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future* intersect issues of urban justice, urban transformation, antiracism, politics of recognition, politics of commemoration, and transnational feminist solidarity:

*High levels of violence, homelessness, addictions and poverty characterize the Downtown Eastside community, and women and children are particularly vulnerable to exploitation, injustice and injury. Founded in 1978, DEWC is one of the few safe spaces within the Downtown Eastside exclusively for women and their children.*

(Cecily Nicholson, e-mail correspondence with the author, 11 August 2011)

From a theoretical perspective, *Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future* and its activities of alliance building (Figure 7.4) within the social reproduction of architecture draw upon the concepts of transversal politics as described by Nira Yuval-Davis and upon the work of Chandra Talpade Mohanty, in particular, her critical insights on what is needed for feminist practice at the beginning of the twenty-first century. The ‘social reproduction work of architecture’ is concerned with both the reproduction of bodies and space, as well as bodies over time and in space. Much of this work remains hidden, some of this work, as manifestations, protests or community meetings underline, makes this hidden work publicly visible. (Yet one should add that a lot of this visible work still remains unpaid and precarious labour.) Its processes of alliance building and resistance are bound up with the ‘politics of recognition’ (Taylor, quoted by Yuval-Davis, 1999: 97) and the politics of commemoration. *Mapping the Everyday* followed the principles of ‘transversal politics’ that, according to Nira Yuval-Davis, are based on the following. First, ‘standpoint epistemology, which recognises that from each positioning the world is seen differently’, second, ‘difference by equality’ and third, ‘a conceptual differentiation between positioning, identity, and values’ (Yuval-Davis, 1999: 94f). These principles were put into practice throughout the project, and as much as the curatorial intent was about alliance building and establishing relations and connections, it was equally about acknowledging the many different borderlines crossing such a project, both from within and from the outside.

*Feminism without borders is not the same as border-less feminism. It acknowledges the fault lines, conflicts, differences, fears and containment that borders represent. It acknowledges that there is no one sense of a border, that the lines between and through nations, races, classes, sexualities, religions, and disabilities, are real – and that feminism without borders must envision change and social justice work across these lines of demarcation and division.*

(Mohanty. 2003: 2)

Urban curating is necessarily plural in nature, not one thing, but many. It seeks to nurture support and temporary alliances. ‘Hence decolonization, anticapitalist critique, and solidarity. I firmly believe an antiracist feminist framework anchored in decolonization and committed to an anticapitalistic critique, is necessary at this time’ (ibid.: 3).
THE IMPORTANCE OF WORKING WITH DIFFERENCE AND SOLIDARITY IN VANCOUVER’S DOWNTOWN EASTSIDE?

The DEWC and the Audain Gallery were the two venues connected through the people involved in Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future. These two venues are in walking distance of each other; the DEWC is located at 302 Columbia Street at Cordova, the Audain Gallery at 149 W Hastings Street. One could argue that walking the short distance is already part of the social reproduction of architecture. Bodies moving through other spaces, crossing thresholds, opening doors, making themselves present in different territories, are part of the transversal politics and its recognition in space. The two sites are part of the same neighbourhood, yet they are set apart by the economies of power and influence. Vancouver has often been described as a city of neighbourhoods. 'The City of Vancouver is made up of a number of smaller neighbourhoods and communities; however, not everyone agrees on all of the names and boundaries of these areas.' It is commonly understood that the neighbourhood, in the context of urban planning is taken for granted. Yet what actually makes a neighbourhood remains largely unclear. One might argue that neighbourhoods are in fact effected by the social reproduction of architecture, in that neighbourhoods come into being via the hidden work produced by all the social relations necessary to then self-identify as part of the neighbourhood. Locating the DEWC and the Audain Gallery in the shared context of the same neighbourhood acknowledges the invisible and visible borderlines cutting through a neighbourhood and the fraught relations between unequal neighbours. The arrival of the downtown campus of the Simon Fraser University and the Audain Gallery are accelerating gentrification. On a practical and a theoretical level, the means of resistance have to be nourished to effectively allow for the survival of the neighbourhood and its social reproduction against the onslaught of gentrification wrought in part by new architecture, such as the Woodward’s Building in which the Audain Gallery is located.

In the fall of 2010, Simon Fraser University’s School for the Contemporary Arts and the Audain Gallery moved to the DTES Woodward’s Building. This is a landmark building fraught with historical significance, struggles, and occupation. Originally built in 1903 for the Woodward’s Department Store, the building stood empty after Woodward’s bankruptcy in 1993. In fact, it was the 2002 housing occupation that actually triggered the redevelopment.

In 2002, radical anti-poverty activists occupied the site of the former Woodward’s department store, located in the centre of Vancouver’s impoverished Downtown Eastside in what became a high-profile protest over gentrification, government cutbacks and homelessness … Highly politicized Woodsquat activists invoked a language of rights, social justice and insurgent citizenship. The City obtained an injunction, compelling the protesters to remove the encampment. (Blomley, 2011: 1)

In 2004, the city of Vancouver selected Westbank Projects/Peter Peterson Investment Group as developers for the project. The architectural design was undertaken by Henriquez Partners Architects. It includes ‘market’ and ‘non-market’ housing units and an extensive addition to Simon Fraser University’s downtown campus. In 2006, the Woodwards complex, except for its oldest original part, was demolished.

Sociological analysis and urban scholarship have devoted a lot of attention to the processes of gentrification. In the burgeoning literature on gentrification, the co-implications of contemporary art and of university campuses as drivers of urban redevelopment and gentrification processes, have been worked out. Therefore, the specific situation of the Audain Gallery bringing together both the contemporary art and a university campus in the midst of a major process of gentrification warrants hesitation and doubts regarding the politics and the ethics of alliance building with its neighbours.

Since Simon Fraser University’s School for the Contemporary Arts and the Audain Gallery moved in the fall of 2010 to the Downtown Eastside of Vancouver, often described as the poorest postal code in Canada, questions of gentrification, representation, site-specificity, and research ethics have become crucial. (Bitter, in Mapping the Everyday, 2011: 1)

---


---

4 Examples of gentrification efforts and urban renewal/or new urban development based upon universities and campus buildings abound. An example from the 1950s is the University City in West Philadelphia. A more recent example is the 2013 Campus of the Vienna University of Economics and Business that includes buildings by Hitoshi Abe, Peter Cook and Zaha Hadid, among other star architects.
Alongside the term neighbourhood, the term community⁵ has also been widely used to describe different groups constitutive of social, spatial, economic, ethnic, or sexed difference in the urban topography. Distribution of difference is constitutive of uneven development and vice versa, uneven development is constitutive of the distribution of difference. Both marked and unmarked borders negotiate the territories of difference. Even though publicly accessible, the Audain Gallery is part of the security system of the Simon Fraser University. Visitors entering the gallery space must pass guards behind their glass windows in the security booth and guards doing their rounds in the Woodward’s building. The community of students passes easily, the community of women from the Downtown Eastside Women’s Centre garners the guards attention. Upon entering the Downtown Eastside Women’s Centre, one sees a woman working the reception counter and feels the need to introduce oneself as a person new to the Centre and its community. At the gallery, a guard monitors the comings and goings of the visiting public. At the Women’s Centre, a table next to the reception desk makes one stop. Here, a number of items such as candles or small memorabilia are displayed to commemorate the people who did not survive the last weeks or months. These objects demand attention, they remind visitors to respectfully commemorate the dead who are part of the everyday life in the Downtown Eastside.

The entanglements of activism, contemporary art, university campus architecture, gentrification, and neoliberal urbanization are what Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future has made itself part of (Figure 7.5). Knowing the dangers and pitfalls of the incorporation of critical and feminist practices into capitalism⁶ and the neoliberal logics of gentrification that pose threats to alliances and solidarity. This in turn, comes with a long history of feminist debates over the politics of representation and the politics of recognition with regard to ethnic, economic, cultural, sexual, religious, or bodied differences. Differentiation and solidarity are therefore to be understood within the politics (and economics) that are their underlying structure. At the same time, this analytical understanding must not impede curatorial feminist agency and activist alliances. The contemporary feminist paradigm of transversal politics, as described by Nira Yuval-Davis, and of transnational feminism, as developed by Chandra Talpada Mohanty, offers not only a strong commitment to practice, at all times respectful of difference, but also a strong theorization of this very commitment. This allows us to conceive of the co-existence of differentiation and solidarity. Such a concept is foundational for the urban curatorial work of Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future.

---

⁵ Community has warranted a lot of theoretical and philosophical attention. Jean-Luc Nancy, Alain Badiou Giorgio Agamben or Chandra Talpade Mohanty, to name just a few, have written extensively on community. Neighbourhood, on the other hand, has until now remained strangely under-theorized, even though neighbours are constitutive of interdependent spatial and social urban relations. In both urban planning and urban analysis, definitions and descriptions of neighbourhood remain rather imprecise and inadequate. Neighbourhood intersects local and global scales and allows for an understanding of cities not in their totality, but in their parts and specific particularities. Neighbourhoods in different cities might share more commonalities than adjacent neighbourhoods in the same city.

RECOGNIZING UNEQUAL POWER RELATIONS AND COLONIAL LEGACIES IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD

From a curatorial perspective, the project relied on the critical and de-colonizing activation of formats that are implied in the colonial legacy of cartography and historiography. ‘There are three key components in this collaboration: the archive, the map, and the library.’ These components are formal, foundational and conceptually important. If we situate these components in a genealogy of the history of ideas, we can see that their purpose is to collect, store, and convey different forms of knowledge.

The archive, the map, and the library have long been part of a set of governmental strategies of organization and consolidation. If read from another vantage, however, these strategies allow people to see how ‘seeing like a state’ functions. In other words, this alternative view makes it possible to see the inner operation of the state in the production and reproduction of hegemonic power. Ultimately, this view is double – the ways of viewing the state offers, while also seeing alternative ways of viewing that have been excluded by the state. This doubled viewing is profoundly dialectical. … Social movements, protest groups, emancipatory education movements, feminist collectives, and others have taken up both sides of this dialectic strategically. (Krasny, in Mapping the Everyday, 2011: 2)

In order to avoid the pitfalls of representational reductionism or discursive colonialism, an urban curatorial project like Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future has to rely on differentiated agency based upon a praxis of attentiveness, care, and listening. Chandra Talpade Mohanty has critically analysed ‘discursive colonization on the lives and struggles of marginalized women.’ (2003: 230). What was at stake in Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future was the inherent danger of reproducing unequal power relations inextricably connected with the historic legacy of colonialism, contemporary strategies of urban research and artistic production with regard to the politics of recognition and the distribution of knowledge, and the spatial effects of gentrification. In 2011, Vancouver commemorated its 125th anniversary. Even the city’s name, named after George Vancouver, bears witness to the city’s colonial history:

Dispatched to the region by the British in 1791, Vancouver conducted an exhaustive cartographic survey and has been represented as the ‘true discoverer’ of the coast. … this reconnaissance induced and supported a range of imperial and colonial practices. Vancouver’s work played a central role in the creation of a system of imperial inscription that primed the coast for colonial intervention. (Clayton, 2000: 371)

Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future was part of a number of projects officially funded by the city of Vancouver to commemorate the 125th anniversary of Vancouver. It became important to critically relate the artistic and curatorial practice of mapping, used to create a timeline of demands of the Downtown Eastside Women’s Centre, to the history of cartography and its colonial implications. Moreover, it is of importance to commemorate the spatial politics at stake in the practices of the anniversary commemoration, particularly in the knowledge that the city of Vancouver is on unceded land:

Only in 2014 Vancouver city council has unanimously voted to acknowledge that the city is on unceded Aboriginal territory. Mayor Gregor Robertson declared a ‘Year of Reconciliation’ last summer, in the hopes of building new relationships between Aboriginals and Vancouverites … ‘Underlying all other truths spoken during the Year of Reconciliation is the truth that the modern city of Vancouver was founded on the traditional territories of the Musqueam, Squamish and Tsleil-Waututh First Nations and that these territories were never ceded through treaty, war or surrender,’ reads part of the motion from the city. (Meiszner, 2014: online)

Astutely aware of the implications of a contemporary art/university campus in gentrification processes, on one hand, and the dangers of discursive colonization, on the other hand, I arrived at the curatorial suggestion of mapping the claims and demands that the women of the DEWC have put forward since its inception in 1978. These claims
bear witness to the ongoing social reproduction work carried out by the women of the Centre. These claims put this hidden work into words. They name it. ‘The DTES communities’ profound sense of the logics and strategies of representation is expressed in the common use of the demand “Nothing About Us Without Us” (Mapping the Everyday, 2011: 1). Respecting this demand and working together accordingly was the premise for the alliance and the mapping process. Even though no formal archive has ever been established, the Centre has kept records and copies of the newsletters the women have issued regularly since 1978. These served as the basis for the mapping. A series of workshops at the DEWC inspired the formation of an ad-hoc group by the women of the Centre and created the opportunity to work through materials. The group consisted of Terri Marie, Karen Lahey, Debbie Ventura, Dalannah Gail Bowen, Sue Zhao, Sara, Ramona, Pat Haram, Audrey, Joan Morelli, Shurli Chan, Stirling, Stella August and Beatrice Starr. Drawing from the Centre’s archival materials, the women organized their claims and demands chronologically and thematically, in the process revealing patterns of change and continuity. These claims and demands addressed issues of poverty, violence and uncertainty. Equally, the claims and demands are the shortest possible versions of intensified descriptions of what the women demanded from themselves, with regard to the social reproduction work they perform both on a daily basis and in times of crisis, exception, and increasing pressure.

As a physical site, the Centre continues to withstand removal. As a social site, the Centre provides support, and inspires hope. The social reproduction of architecture is addressed on a number of levels in the practice of the DEWC and in the temporary alliance built between the women of the Centre, the Audain Gallery, and myself as artist-in-residence/urban curator. The collected claims bear witness that the Centre is part of the neighbourhood’s history as site, supportive of solidarity and friendship between indigenous women, Chinese women, and other women. The claims manifest the Centre’s resistance through the women’s counter-actions against neoliberal urbanization, gentrification, and austerity measures. The timeline also demonstrates that for over 30 years the Centre has been part of larger and global discussions of feminism, indigenous cultures, colonialism, poverty, urban justice, and housing rights. Not only have the women created a physical space in which support and friendship can be lived and practised on an everyday basis, they also continue to manifest their physical and political resilience and resistance in their daily movements to and from the Centre in the city of Vancouver, in their marches and demonstrations, in neighbourhood meetings, and, in alliances with others, both with communities or people from the neighbourhood and from afar. All this taken together is part of the immense scale of (hidden) labour of the social reproduction necessary for their survival and for the resistance of the neighbourhood. The right to the city, expressed as the women’s right to the neighbour-hood, has both public and personal dimensions.

Mapping the Everyday foregrounds women of the Downtown Eastside’s capacity to affect social transformation via sustained resistance to, and rearrangement of multiple, relational modes of ruling apparatuses and regulatory bodies including police, court and legal systems, the service industry, business, education, and media. Open to the voices and everyday actions of community members, the collaborative efforts subvert the representation of women in the downtown eastside as victims, fixed or pacified, and as abject components of a still-colonial and patriarchal gaze. (Nicholson, 2011: 3)

Knowingly entering into the entanglements and inherent relations between economic globalization, the legacy of colonialism, neoliberal gentrification, contemporary art and new campus buildings, challenges any naïve, complicit or uncritical temporary alliance. Yet, one cannot let these conditions result in the postulation of the impossibility of such alliance. Affective relations, trust, friendship, and resistance are in turn supported through a temporary alliance of transversal feminist politics and feminist transnational solidarity. Through such a temporary alliance built by urban curating, a space like the Audain Gallery can be transformed by way of the ‘social reproduction of architecture’. Together, we turned the space of the Audain Gallery into a public interior, enabling and making manifest a feminist alliance for the social reproduction of space/the reproduction of a social space.8

A transversal feminist politics and a transnational feminist prac-tice depend on building solidarity across the divisions of place, identity, class, work, belief, and so on. In these very fragmented

8 An in-depth description and analysis of the formal and aesthetic appearance of the installation and the assemblies, meetings, reading groups, and performances exceed the scope of this chapter. Suffice to say, that my curatorial intent firmly rooted the conceptual aesthetics and research in the legacy of conceptual feminism within contemporary art practice in its activist dimension. Both appearance-wise and conceptually, projects like Lucy Lippard’s c. 7.500 or Martha Rosler’s If You Lived Here inspired Mapping the Everyday: Neighbourhood Claims for the Future.
times it is both very difficult to build these alliances and also never more important to do so. Global capitalism both destroys the possibilities and offers up new ones. (Mohanty, 2003: 250)

In concluding, I want to invoke categories like trust, respect, friendship and mutual support in order to advocate an urban curatorial practice that makes itself part of the social reproduction of architecture moving across and between hope and resistance, precarity and vulnerability. Within the time and the space of a temporary alliance, differentiation and solidarity move in tandem with conflict and hope. The social reproduction work of architecture, even though largely hidden work, proves it can provide platforms for transversal feminist politics and sustained transnational feminist solidarity withstanding the continued legacies of coloniality, and the precarization of bodies and space.
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